arXiv:1607.04082v1 [math.DG] 14 Jul 2016

On the classification of contact metric (k, μ)–spaces via tangent hyperquadric bundles

E. Loiudice and A. Lotta

Abstract

We classify locally the contact metric (k, μ)–spaces whose Boeckx invariant is ≤ -1 as tangent hyperquadric bundles of Lorentzian space forms.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 53C35, 53C25.
Keywords and phrases: contact metric (k, μ)-space, Boeckx invariant, symmetric CR manifold, tangent hyperquadric bundle.

1 Introduction

Contact (k, μ)–spaces constitute a relevant class of objects studied in contact metric geometry; these spaces were introduced by Blair, Koufogiorgos and Papantoniou in [2] as a generalization of Sasakian manifolds. Indeed, a *contact metric* (k, μ) *manifold* is a contact metric manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ such that

$$R(X, Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY), \tag{1}$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, k, μ are real numbers and $h := \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi$. Here $\mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi$ denotes the Lie derivative of φ in the direction of ξ. Recall that Sasakian manifolds are characterized by the above equation with $k = 1$ and $h = 0$.

Looking at contact metric manifolds as strongly pseudo-convex (almost) CR manifolds, Dileo and Lotta [8] showed that the (k, μ)–condition is equivalent to the local CR-symmetry with respect to the Webster metric g, according to the general notion introduced by Kaup and Zaitsev in [11] (see also section 2.2). In this context, another characterization was given in terms of the parallelism of the Tanaka–Webster curvature and torsion [5].

Boeckx gave a crucial contribution to the problem of classifying these manifolds; after showing that every non-Sasakian contact (k, μ)-space is locally homogeneous and strongly locally φ–symmetric [3], in [4] he defined a scalar invariant I_M which completely determines a contact (k, μ)–space M locally up to equivalence and up to a D-homotetic deformation of its contact metric structure.

A standard example is the tangent sphere bundle $T_1 M$ of a Riemannian manifold M with constant sectional curvature $c \neq 1$. Being an hypersurface of

TM, which is equipped with a natural strictly almost Kähler structure (J, G), where G is the Sasaki metric, T_1M inherits a standard contact metric structure (for details, see for instance [1]). In particular, the Webster metric g of T_1M is a scalar multiple of G. The corresponding Boeckx invariant is given by:

$$I_{T_1M} = \frac{1+c}{|1-c|}.$$

Hence, as c varies in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$, I_{T_1M} assumes all the real values strictly greater than -1.

The case $I \leqslant -1$ seems to lead to models of different nature. Namely, Boeckx found examples of contact metric (k, μ) spaces, for every value of the invariant $I \leqslant -1$, namely a two parameter family of Lie groups with a left–invariant contact metric structure. However, he gave no geometric description of these examples.

The purpose of this paper is to show that, actually, one can construct the models with $I \leqslant -1$ simply by replacing a Riemannian space form (M, g) with a Lorentzian one, taking instead of T_1M the so-called tangent hyperquadric bundle:

$$T_{-1}M = \{(p, v) \in TM : \quad g(v, v) = -1\}.$$

Indeed, the formula for the Boeckx invariant changes as follows:

$$I_{T_{-1}M} = \frac{c-1}{|c+1|},$$

where c varies in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$, so that for $c \leqslant 0$, these examples cover all possible values of the Boeckx invariant in $(-\infty, -1]$.

We remark that, as in the Riemannian case, $T_{-1}M$ is again a strictly pseudo-convex CR hypersurface of (TM, J) (see also [14] for a recent study of these manifolds from the point of view of CR geometry). However, in this case the Webster metric g is no longer a scalar multiple of the (semi-Riemannian) Sasaki metric of TM.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Contact metric (k, μ) manifolds

In this section we recall some basic results concerning the class of contact metric manifolds under consideration. As a general reference on contact metric geometry, we refer the reader to Blair's book [1].

Theorem ([2]). *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a contact metric (k, μ) manifold. Then necessarily $k \leqslant 1$. Moreover, if $k = 1$ then $h = 0$ and $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is Sasakian. If $k < 1$, the contact metric structure is not Sasakian and M admits three mutually orthogonal integrable distributions $\mathcal{D}(0)$, $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)$ corresponding to the eigenspaces of h, where $\lambda = \sqrt{1-k}$.*

Non-Sasakian contact metric (k,μ) manifolds was completely classified by Boeckx in [4]. We have that $k<1$ and the real number

$$I_M=\frac{1-\frac{\mu}{2}}{\sqrt{1-k}},$$

is an invariant for the (k,μ) structure; moreover:

Theorem ([4]). *Let $(M_i,\varphi_i,\xi_i,\eta_i,g_i)$, $i=1,2$, be two non-Sasakian (k_i,μ_i)-spaces of the same dimension. Then $I_{M_1}=I_{M_2}$ if and only if, up to a D-homothetic transformation, the two spaces are locally isometric as contact metric spaces. In particular, if both spaces are simply connected and complete, they are globally isometric up to a D-homothetic transformation.*

Finally we recall how the Boeckx invariant I_M of a non-Sasakian (k,μ) manifold is linked with the behavior of the Pang invariants of the Legendre foliations determined by $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)$. The Pang invariant of a Legendre foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a contact manifold (M,η) is the symmetric tensor:

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(X,Y):=-(\mathcal{L}_X\mathcal{L}_Y\eta)(\xi)=2\mathrm{d}\eta([\xi,X],Y), \tag{2}$$

where X,Y are vectors fields tangent to $\mathcal{F}$ (cf. [13]). The Legendre foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is called positive, negative or flat according to the circumstance that the bilinear form $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is positive definite, negative definite or vanishes identically, respectively.

In our case the explicit expressions of $\Pi_{\mathcal{D}(\lambda)}$ and $\Pi_{\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)}$ are (see [1, p. 127] or [7]):

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{D}(\lambda)}=\frac{(\lambda+1)^2-k-\mu\lambda}{\lambda}g_\eta|_{\mathcal{D}(\lambda)\times\mathcal{D}(\lambda)}, \tag{3}$$

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)}=\frac{-(\lambda-1)^2+k-\mu\lambda}{\lambda}g_\eta|_{\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)\times\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)}. \tag{4}$$

Using the previous equations one gets (see [6]):

Theorem. *Let (M,φ,ξ,η,g) be a non-Sasakian contact metric (k,μ) manifold. Then one of the following must hold:*

(a) both $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)$ are positive definite;

(b) $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ is positive definite and $\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)$ is negative defined;

(c) both $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)$ are negative definite;

(d) $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ is positive definite and $\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)$ is flat;

(e) $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ is flat and $\mathcal{D}(-\lambda)$ is negative defined.

Furthermore, M belongs to the class (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) if and only if $I_M>1$, $-1<I_M<-1$, $I_M<-1$, $I_M=1$, $I_M=-1$, respectively.

2.2 Locally symmetric pseudo-Hermitian manifolds

Let M^{n+k} be a smooth manifold. A *partial complex structure* of CR-codimension k is a pair (HM, J) where HM is a smooth real subbundle of real dimension $2n$ of the tangent bundle TM, and J is a smooth bundle isomorphism $J : HM \to HM$ such that $J^2 = -I$.

An *almost CR structure* on M is a partial complex structure (HM, J) on M satisfying:

$$[X, Y] - [JX, JY] \in \mathcal{H} \ ,$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the module of all the smooth sections of HM. If moreover the following equation

$$[JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J([JX, Y] + [X, JY]) = 0, \tag{5}$$

holds for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$, then (HM, J) is said to be a CR *structure* on M, and (M, HM, J) is a CR *manifold*.

If (HM, J) is an almost CR structure of CR-codimension 1 on a orientable manifold M, one can also represent HM as

$$HM = \ker(\eta),$$

where η is a globally defined nowhere vanishing one form. If, for some choice of η, the corresponding *Levi form*

$$L_\eta(X, Y) := -\mathrm{d}\eta(X, JY), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$$

is positive definite, then the almost CR structure (HM, J) is a called *strongly pseudo-convex*, and we shall refer to (M, HM, J, η) as a *strongly pseudo-convex almost CR manifold*. When the underlying almost CR structure is also integrable, M is usually termed a *pseudo-Hermitian manifold*. See for example [10] for more information on this subject.

It is well known that any strongly pseudo-convex structure (HM, J, η) on M determines a contact metric structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g_\eta)$. Indeed, η is a contact form, so that there exists a unique nowhere vanishing globally defined vector field ξ transverse to HM (the Reeb vector field), such that

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \mathrm{d}\eta(\xi, X) = 0$$

for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. The Levi form L_η and the bundle isomorphism J can be canonically extended respectively to a Riemannian metric g_η, called the *Webster metric*, and to a $(1, 1)$-tensor field φ:

$$g_\eta(X, Y) := L_\eta(X, Y), \quad g_\eta(X, \xi) = 0, \quad g_\eta(\xi, \xi) = 1,$$

$$\varphi X := JX, \quad \varphi\xi := 0,$$

where $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$. One can check that $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g_\eta)$ is a contact metric structure on M in the sense of [1].

Conversely, if (φ, ξ, η, g) is a contact metric structure on M, then setting

$$HM := \mathrm{Im}(\varphi), \quad J := \varphi|_{HM},$$

one gets a strongly pseudo-convex almost CR structure, whose Webster metric g_η coincides with g.

Let (M, HM, J, η) be a strongly pseudo-convex almost CR manifold. A CR *symmetry* at a point $p \in M$ is a CR diffeomorphism

$$\sigma : M \to M,$$

which is also an isometry with respect to the Webster metric g_η, and such that

$$(\mathrm{d}\sigma)_p|_{H_pM} = -Id_{H_pM}.$$

If M admits a CR symmetry at p for every $p \in M$, then M will be called a *symmetric pseudo-Hermitian manifold*, according to the general terminology introduced by Kaup and Zaitsev in [11]. Since the symmetry at p in uniquely determined (cf. Theorem 3.3 in [11]), it makes sense also to define *locally symmetric* pseudo-Hermitian manifolds in a natural manner. Observe that, since the local CR symmetries are CR maps, for these manifolds the integrability condition (5) is automatically satisfied.

Finally, we recall that it was showed in [8, Theorem 3.2] that a non Sasakian contact metric manifold satisfies the (k, μ) condition (1) if and only if it is a locally symmetric pseudo-Hermtian manifold.

2.3 Tangent bundles and tangent hyperquadric bundles

Here we recall some notions and properties on the tangent bundle of a manifold. The definition and some properties of the tangent hyperquadric bundle of a Lorentzian manifold will be also recalled.

Let M be a smooth manifold. The *vertical lift* X^V of a vector field X on M, is the vector field on TM defined by

$$X^V\omega = \omega(X) \circ \pi,$$

where ω is any 1-form on M and $\pi : TM \to M$ is the canonical projection. Furthermore, if D is an affine connection on M, the *horizontal lift* of X with respect to D, is defined by

$$X^H\omega = D_X\omega,$$

where ω is any 1-form on M. The local expression of X^H with respect to the local coordinates system (q^i, v^i) on TM associated to a local system of coordinates (x^i) on M is:

$$X^H = X^i\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - X^i v^j \Gamma^k_{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^k}. \tag{6}$$

We denote by H_t and V_t the span of the horizontal and vertical lifts at $t \in TM$ respectively. We have that:

$$T_t(TM) = H_t \oplus V_t.$$

The *canonical vertical vector field* $\mathcal{N}$ on TM and the *geodesic flow* ζ on TM are defined by:

$$\mathcal{N}_t = u_t^V, \quad \zeta_t = u_t^H, \quad t = (p,u) \in TM.$$

The tangent bundle of an affine manifold (M, D) admits a canonical almost complex structure $\tilde{J} : TTM \to TTM$ such that:

$$\tilde{J}X^H = X^V, \quad \tilde{J}X^V = -X^H, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Observe that:

$$\tilde{J}\mathcal{N} = -\zeta, \quad \tilde{J}\zeta = \mathcal{N}.$$

For the Lie brackets between horizontal and vertical lifts of the vector fields X, Y on M, the following formulas hold (see [1]):

$$[X^V, Y^V] = 0, \quad [X^H, Y^V] = (D_X Y)^V,$$

$$[X^H, Y^H]_t = [X,Y]_t^H - (R(X,Y)u)_t^H, \tag{7}$$

where R denotes the curvature tensor of D on M.

In all that follows, we consider a Lorentzian manifold (M, g). The *Sasaki metric* $\tilde{G}$ on TM is defined according to:

$$\tilde{G}_t(X^H, Y^H) = g_p(X_p, Y_p), \quad \tilde{G}_t(X^V, Y^V) = g_p(X_p, Y_p), \quad \tilde{G}_t(X^H, Y^V) = 0,$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $t = (p,u) \in TM$, and X^H, Y^H are the horizontal lifts of X, Y with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g. Observe that the Sasaki metric $\tilde{G}$ has index 2 (see [9] for more details). It is known that the 1-form on TM:

$$\beta_t(\tilde{X}_t) := \tilde{G}_t(\tilde{X}_t, u_t^H) = g_p(\pi_\star \tilde{X}, u), \quad \tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(TM),\ t = (p,u) \in TM,$$

satisfies

$$2\mathrm{d}\beta(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{G}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{J}\tilde{Y}), \tag{8}$$

for every $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ (see for instance [1, p. 171] or [9]), so that $(TM, \tilde{J}, \tilde{G})$ is an indefinite almost Kähler manifold.

Now we consider the tangent hyperquadric bundle

$$T_{-1}M := \{(p,u) \in TM \mid g_p(u,u) = -1\},$$

which is an orientable hypersurface of TM, being $\mathcal{N}$ a unit normal vector field to $T_{-1}M$. We have that:

$$\tilde{G}_t(\mathcal{N}_t, \mathcal{N}_t) = -1, \quad H_t \subset T_t(T_{-1}M),$$

$$T_t(T_{-1}M) = \{X_t^H + Y_t^V \mid X, Y \in T_pM,\ g_p(Y,u) = 0\},$$

for every $t = (p,u) \in T_{-1}M$. Being a hypersurface of $(TM, \tilde{J})$, $T_{-1}M$ inherits a canonical partial complex structure $(H(T_{-1}M), J)$, where

$$H(T_{-1}M) := \{X \in T(T_{-1}M) \mid \tilde{J}X \in T(T_{-1}M)\},$$

and

$$J : H(T_{-1}M) \to H(T_{-1}M),$$

is the restriction of the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}$. Observe that for every $t = (p,u) \in T_{-1}M$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_t(T_{-1}M) =& \{X_t^H + Y_t^V \mid X, Y \in T_pM,\ g_p(X,u) = 0,\ g_p(Y,u) = 0\} \\ =& \{X_t^O + Y_t^T \mid X, Y \in T_pM\} \end{aligned}$$

where, for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we introduce the following vector fields tangent to $T_{-1}M$:

$$\begin{aligned} X^O &:= X^H + \tilde{G}(X^V, \mathcal{N})\zeta, \\ X^T &:= X^V + \tilde{G}(X^V, \mathcal{N})\mathcal{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider the 1-form $\eta := \frac{1}{2}\beta$ on $T_{-1}M$, whose kernel is $H(T_{-1}M)$. Equation (8) implies that the Levi form L_η is positive definite and the Reeb vector field ξ of η is

$$\xi_t = -2\zeta_t, \quad t \in T_{-1}M. \tag{9}$$

Hence $(H(T_{-1}M), J, \eta)$ is a strongly pseudo-convex almost CR structure on $T_{-1}M$, that we shall call the *standard pseudo-convex structure*. The associated contact metric structure, also named *standard contact metric structure*, is determined according to:

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(X^O) = X^T, \quad \varphi(X^T) = -X^O, \quad \varphi(\xi) = 0, \\ &g_\eta(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{G}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \quad g_\eta(\tilde{X}, \xi) = 0, \quad g_\eta(\xi, \xi) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$ are any smooth sections of $H(T_{-1}M)$.

3 Contact metric (k,μ) structures on tangent hyperquadric bundles

In this section we prove our main results.

Theorem 1. *Let (M,g) be a Lorentzian manifold. Then $T_{-1}M$ is a locally symmetric pseudo-Hermitian manifold if and only if (M,g) has constant sectional curvature.*

Proof. Suppose first that (M, g) has constant sectional curvature. Let $t = (p, u)$ any point on $T_{-1}M$. We have that the linear mapping

$$L : X \in T_pM \mapsto -X - 2g_p(u, X)u \in T_pM,$$

is an orthogonal transformation that preserves the Riemannian curvature tensor. Thus, there exists an isometry

$$f : U \to U,$$

where U is an open neighborhood of p, such that $\mathrm{d}_p f = L$ (cf. [12, Chapter 8]). Since f is an isometry, we see that the induced diffeomorphism

$$F = \mathrm{d}f : TU \to TU$$

satisfies:

$$(\mathrm{d}F)_s(X_s^H) = (\mathrm{d}f_x(X))^H_{F(s)}, \quad (\mathrm{d}F)_s(X_s^V) = (\mathrm{d}f_x(X))^V_{F(s)}, \tag{10}$$

for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $s = (x, v) \in T_{-1}M \cap TU$, hence F is a local isometry with respect to the Sasaki metric $\tilde{G}$ on TM, preserving the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}$. It follows that F restricts to a local CR diffeomorphism of $T_{-1}M$. Moreover, (10) and (9) imply that $(\mathrm{d}F)_s(\xi_s) = \xi_{F(s)}$, yielding that F is also a local isometry with respect to the Webster metric. Moreover, being $\mathrm{d}_p f = L$, we have:

$$(\mathrm{d}F)_t|_{H_t(T_{-1}M)} = -Id,$$

and thus F is a local CR symmetry at t.

Viceversa, if $T_{-1}M$ is a locally symmetric pseudo-Hermitian manifold, then in particular $(H(T_{-1}M), J)$ is a CR structure and hence, by [14, Theorem 1], (M, g) has constant sectional curvature. □

Now we determine the Boeckx invariant of $T_{-1}M$, where M is a Lorentzian space form.

Theorem 2. *Let (M^{n+1}, g) be a Lorentzian manifold with constant sectional curvature c. Then, $T_{-1}M$ endowed with the standard contact metric structure is Sasakian if and only if $c = -1$. If $c \neq -1$ then $T_{-1}M$ is a non-Sasakian contact metric (k, μ)-space, whose Boeckx invariant is:*

$$I = \frac{c-1}{|c+1|}.$$

Proof. Theorem 1 ensures that the standard contact metric structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g_\eta)$ of $T_{-1}M$ is a contact metric (k, μ) structure (eventally a Sasakian one). In the following we compute the spectrum of the symmetric operator h. Let $t = (p, u) \in T_{-1}M$ and $X \in T_pM$ such that $g_p(X, u) = 0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} 2h(X^T) &= [\xi, \varphi X^T] - \varphi[\xi, X^T] \\ &= -[\xi, X^O] - \tilde{J}[\xi, X^V + G(X^V, \mathcal{N})\mathcal{N}], \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where we are denoting again with X any extension of the vector X. Let (x^i) be a local coordinate system on M and (q^i, v^i) the corresponding local coordinate system on TM. Since locally

$$\xi = -2v^i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^H,$$

then using equation (7), by a standard computation (cf. also [8] in the Riemannian case), we obtain:

$$[\xi, X^V]_t = 2(X_t^H - (\nabla_u X)_t^V), \quad [\xi, X^H]_t = -2((\nabla_u X)_t^H - cX_t^V), \tag{12}$$

and hence (11) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} 2h(X_t^T) &= 2((\nabla_u X)_t^H - cX_t^V) - 2(X_t^V + (\nabla_u X)_t^H) - \xi_t(G(X^V, \mathcal{N}))\tilde{J}\mathcal{N}_t \\ &= -2(c+1)X_t^V - \frac{1}{4}\xi_t(G(X^V, \mathcal{N}))\xi_t. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\xi_t(G(X^V, \mathcal{N})) = 0$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} &h(X_t^V) = -(c+1)X_t^V, \\ &h(X_t^H) = h(-\varphi X_t^V) = \varphi h X_t^V = (c+1)X_t^H, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and the spectrum of the operator h is $\{0, c+1, -(c+1)\}$. It follows that $T_{-1}M$ is Sasakian iff $c = -1$.

Suppose $c \neq -1$. Let $t = (p, u)$ and $X \in T_pM$ such that $g_p(X, u) = 0$. Then using the definition (2) of the Pang invariant and equation (12), we get, being X^O a global section of $\mathcal{D}(c+1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathit{\Pi}_{\mathcal{D}(c+1)}(X_t^O, X_t^O) &= 2\mathrm{d}\eta([\xi, X^O]_t, X_t^O) \\ &= 2g_\eta([\xi, X^H + \tilde{G}(X^V, \mathcal{N})\zeta]_t, X_t^T) \\ &= 2g_\eta([\xi, X^H]_t + \xi(\tilde{G}(X^V, \mathcal{N}))\zeta_t, X_t^T) \\ &= 2g_\eta([\xi, X^H]_t, X_t^T) \\ &= 4cg_\eta(X_t^V, X_t^T) \\ &= 4cg_\eta(X_t^T, X_t^T). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

In particular if $c > -1$, by equation (3), we obtain

$$\mathit{\Pi}_{\mathcal{D}(c+1)}(X^O, X^O) = (2c + 4 - \mu)g_\eta(X^O, X^O). \tag{15}$$

Thus comparing (14) and (15) we have that $\mu = 4 - 2c$ and hence

$$I_M = \frac{c-1}{c+1}.$$

Finally suppose $c < -1$. Then by (4):

$$\mathit{\Pi}_{\mathcal{D}(c+1)}(X^O, X^O) = (2c + 4 - \mu)g_\eta(X^O, X^O). \tag{16}$$

Comparing equations (16) and (14) we obtain that $\mu = 4 - 2c$ and

$$I_M = -\frac{c-1}{c+1}.$$

□

Corollary 1. *Every non Sasakian contact metric (k, μ) space with Boeckx invariant $I \leqslant -1$ is locally equivalent, up to a $\mathcal{D}$-homothetic deformation, to the tangent hyperquadric bundle $T_{-1}M$ of a Lorentzian manifold M with constant sectional curvature $c \leq 0$, $c \neq -1$, endowed with its standard contact metrics structure.*

References

[1] D. E. Blair: Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, volume 203 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, Boston Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2010.

[2] D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, and B. J. Papantoniou: *Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition*, Israel J. Math. **91** (1995), 189–214.

[3] E. Boeckx: *A class of locally φ-symmetric contact metric spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **72** (1999), 466–472.

[4] E. Boeckx: *A full classification of contact metric (k, μ)-spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **44** (2000), 212–219.

[5] E. Boeckx and J. T. Cho: *Pseudo-Hermitian symmetries*, Israel J. Math. **166** (2008), 125–145.

[6] B. Cappelletti Montano: *The foliated structure of contact metric (k, μ)-spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **53** (2009), no. 4, 1157–1172.

[7] B. Cappelletti Montano and L. Di Terlizzi: *Contact metric (k, μ)-spaces as bi-Legendrian manifolds*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **77** (2008), no. 3, 373–386.

[8] G. Dileo and A. Lotta: *A classification of spherical symmetric CR manifolds.*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **80** (2009), 251–274.

[9] S. Dragomir and D. Perrone: *On the geometry of tangent hyperquadric bundles: CR and pseudoharmonic vector fields*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **30** (2006), 211–238.

[10] S. Dragomir and G. Tomassini: Differential geometry and analysis on CR manifolds, *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, Boston Inc., Boston, 2006.

[11] W. Kaup and D. Zaitsev: *On symmetric Cauchy-Riemann manifolds*, Adv. Math. **149** (2000), 145–181.

[12] B. O'Neill: Semi-Riemannian geometry. With applications to relativity. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 103. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1983.

[13] M. Y. Pang: *The structure of Legendre foliations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **320** (1990), 417–455.

[14] D. Perrone: *On the standard nondegenerate almost CR structure of tangent hyperquadric bundles*, Geom. Dedicata (2016), doi:10.1007/s10711-016-0167-z.

Authors' addresses:

Eugenia Loiudice

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bari Aldo Moro,
Via Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy
e-mail: eugenia.loiudice@uniba.it

Antonio Lotta

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bari Aldo Moro,
Via Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy
e-mail: antonio.lotta@uniba.it